

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/992,136	11/14/2001	Sonna Calandrino	2022,002	4652	
23405	7590 01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
	THENBERG FARLEY &	JUSKA, CHERYL ANN			
5 COLUMBIA ALBANY, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
112211111,	. , 2200		1771		
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						۱ L.			
• • •			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/992,136		CALANDRINO				
			Examiner		Art Unit	······································			
			Cheryl Juska		1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF THIS COMMUNING THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNING OF THIS From the mailing date of this control of the provided period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the present of the provided period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. (30) days, a reply vistatutory period will ly will, by statute, o	S(a). In no event, within the statutor Il apply and will ex cause the applica	however, may a reply be til y minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>26 Se</u>	ptember 200	<u>)3</u> .					
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-	final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 26-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 57-69 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20-23,26-29,33-42 and 45-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19,30-32,43,44 and 54-56 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>14 Novemb</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected	e <u>r 2001</u> is/are ection to the d ng the correction	e: a)⊠ acco rawing(s) be∃ on is required	held in abeyance. Se if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,							
12) \(\begin{array}{c} \times \\ 13) \(\begin{array}{c} \times \\ \times \	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign later acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see	y documents y documents s of the prioritional Bureau ion for a list of for domestic led in the first	have been in the have been in the document (PCT Rule of the certified priority und the sentence of the certified priority und the priority und the priority und the priority und	received. received in Applicates have been received 17.2(a)). d copies not receiver 35 U.S.C. § 1196 f the specification of the state of the specification has been received the specification of the specification has been received the specification of the specification has been received the specification of the specificatio	tion No red in this National Stated. (e) (to a provisional apor in an Application Daceived. Diand/or 121 since a s	oplication) ta Sheet. specific			
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/992,136 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed September 26, 2003, has been entered. Claims 18, 19, 26, 30, 37, and 45 have been amended as requested. Claims 24 and 25 have been cancelled and new claims 57-69 have been added. Thus, the pending claims are 1-23 and 26-69.
- 2. Said amendment is sufficient to overcome the 112, 2nd rejections set forth in sections 1-4 of the last Office Action. Additionally, said amendment is sufficient to withdraw the 102 rejections set forth in sections 7 and 8 of the last Office Action. Furthermore, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-23, 52, 30, and 43 have been found persuasive since the Koiduka reference does not suggest the use of a textile face name plate which can be attached by means of welding. Hence, the rejection of said claims 20-23, 52, 30, and 43 over the Koiduka reference is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 20-23 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Independent claim 20 lacks antecedent basis for the phrase "the image mounted in the second region." Claims 21-23 and 52 are rejected for their dependency upon claim 20.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,136 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

7. Claims 1-18, 26-29, 33-42, 45-51, and 53 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,461,748 issued to Koiduka, as set forth in section 10 of the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment of September 26, 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant traverses the above Koiduka obviousness rejection by asserting that the reference does not teach or suggest the claimed invention as a whole and actually teaches away from said invention (Amendment, page 15, 4th section). Specifically, applicant argues that Koiduka does not teach or suggest the claimed limitation of that the second region contain "at least some information related to the image on the first region' (Amendment, page 16-page 17). The examiner agrees that Koiduka does not explicitly teach said limitation. Hence, the rejection is not an anticipation rejection. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to add an image, such as a logo or "welcome," to the floor mat surface, since it is well known in the art to have such images on floor mats, especially customized mats. Applicant does not refute this point, but argues that the invention is distinguished since the region information must be related to the other region information (Amendment, page 17, lines 4-14).

_

Application/Control Number: 09/992,136

Art Unit: 1771

- 10. In response, it is argued that said limitation is broad in that it only says there is a relationship between the two regions of information. The claim does not limit the type of relationship. Hence, a floor mat according to Koiduka having a company name plate in one region and "welcome" in another region meets the presently claimed limitation since the information of the two regions are "related" as portraying a greeting from the company.
- Applicant also argues that Koiduka does not teach a tufted carpet, as is known in the art (Amendment, pages 19-20). In response, it is argued that tufted primary backings are well known in the art. Additionally, said tufted primary backings are known to be cured and/or welded to floor mat backing materials. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the to modify the Koiduka invention with a tufted pile face rather than the "planting portion" disclosed by the reference. There is nothing on record to suggest to one skilled in the art that a tufted primary backing would not be suited as the pile face of Koiduka. Therefore, applicant's argument is unpersuasive.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 19, 31, 32, 44, and 54-56 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, for the reasons set forth in sections 11-14 of the last Office Action.
- 13. New claims 57-69 are allowed. New independent claims 57, 61, and 65 contain the limitations of claim 24, 31, and 44, respectively. Since claims 24, 31, and 44 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter in the last Office Action, new claims 57-69 are allowed for the reasons set forth in section 13 of the last Office Action.

Art Unit: 1771

14. Claim 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

- 15. Claims 21-23, and 52 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. Claims 30 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. Claims 20-23, 52, 30, and 43 contain allowable subject matter since the prior art does not teach or suggest a tufted carpet comprising a tufted substrate having a first region having an image thereon, a second region with little or no tufted fibers, and a textile material mounted on said second region wherein said textile material has information related to the image of the first region.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CHERY A DEKA